arXiv:1510.06291v2 [math.GT] 8 Jan 2016

Certain rational functions on the Riemann sphere with more than three branch points

Jijian Song[1] and Bin Xu[2]

January 11, 2016

[1,2]Wu Wen-Tsun Key Laboratory of Mathematics, USTC, Chinese Academy of Sciences
School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China.
No. 96 Jinzhai Road, Hefei, Anhui Province 230026 P. R. China.
[1]smath@mail.ustc.edu.cn [2]✉ bxu@ustc.edu.cn

Abstract: Consider a collection Λ of partitions of a positive integer d with form

$$(a_1,\cdots,a_p),(b_1,\cdots,b_q),(m_1+1,1,\cdots,1),\cdots,(m_l+1,1,\cdots,1),$$

where $(m_1,\cdots,m_l)$ is a partition of $p+q-2>0$. By constructing the corresponding $(l+2)$ permutations in the symmetry group $S_{\{1,2,\cdots,d\}}$, we prove that there exists a rational function on the Riemann sphere with branch data Λ if and only if $\max(m_1,\cdots,m_l)$ is less than $d/\mathrm{GCD}(a_1,\cdots,a_p,b_1,\cdots,b_q)$, which generalizes a theorem of G. Boccara in Discrete Math. **58** (1982). As an application, we give a new class of branch data which can be realized by Belyi functions on the Riemann sphere.

Keywords. branch data, Realizability Problem, Belyi function, Riemann's existence theorem
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20B35; secondary 14H30

1 Introduction

Let X and Y be two compact connected Riemann surfaces and f a holomorphic branched cover of degree d from X to Y. For each point q in Y, there is a partition $\lambda(q)=(k_1,...,k_r)$ of d such that, over a suitable neighborhood of q in Y, f is equivalent to the map

$$\{1,...,r\}\times\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{C},\quad (j,z)\mapsto z^{k_j}$$

and q corresponds to 0 in $\mathbb{C}$. Given a partition λ of d, we call the number of components of λ the *length* of λ, which we denote by $\mathrm{Len}(\lambda)$. We call partition λ of d *non-trivial* if $\mathrm{Len}(\lambda)<d$. The branch set B_f consists of those points q in Y for which $\lambda(q)$ has length less than d. The collection $\Lambda=\{\lambda(q):q\in B_f\}$ (with repetitions allowed) is called the *branch data* of f and

$$\nu(f):=\sum_{q\in B(f)}\Big(d-\mathrm{Len}\big(\lambda(q)\big)\Big)$$

the *total branching* of f. It follows from the Riemann-Hurwitz formula that

$$\nu(f)=2g(X)-2-d\big(2g(Y)-2\big)$$

where $g(X)$ ($g(Y)$) denotes the genus of X (Y). In particular, the total branching $\nu(f)$ is an even non-negative integer.

The following problem was proposed at first by Edmonds-Kulkarni-Stong [4] and we can trace its history to Hurwitz [8].

Realizability Problem. Given a compact connected Riemann surface Y and a collection $\Lambda=\{\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_k\}$ of non-trivial partitions of a positive integer d, is there a branched covering $f:X\to Y$ with Λ as its branch data? If it is the case, we call the collection Λ is *realizable* or *realized by a branched cover*.

See the classical [2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 21, 22] and the more recent [1, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23] about this problem. We only review some necessary backgroup and a small part of known results which are quite relevant to Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Recall that in order to be realizable, collection Λ should satisfy the necessary condition that its *total branching*

$$\nu(\Lambda):=\sum_{j=1}^{k}\big(d-\mathrm{Len}(\lambda_j)\big)$$

is even and non-negative. We call such a collection *compatible*. It is proved in [9, Theorem 9] and [4, Section 3] that a compatible collection is always realizable if $g(Y)>0$. Hence, we always assume that Y is the Riemann sphere $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ in what follows. That is, we are going to only consider rational functions on compact Riemann surfaces. Then a compatible collection is not realizable in general. We call a compatible collection an *exception* if it is not realizable. Hao Zheng [23] founded by computer all the exceptions of degree ≤ 22. Pervova-Petronio [19, 20] used a variety of techniques to give some new infinite series of exceptions, part of which are concerned with compact Riemann surfaces of positive genera. In particular, they used dessins d'enfants at the first time to attack the Realizable Problem and made a theoretical explanation to part of the exceptions in [23]. On the other hand, Edmonds-Kulkarni-Stong [4] proposed the so-called *prime degree conjecture*, which says that each compatible collection with prime degree is realizable and was reduced in the same paper to the collections with three partitions. Pascali-Petronio [17, 18] proved some results which provided strong support to this conjecture.

Characterizing branch data of all rational functions is a very deep and difficult problem, which seems to be far from being accessible nowadays. Hence, it is meaningful to find reasonably simple sufficient conditions for realizable collections. Beside the theorems in [17, 18, 19, 20], some of the other known results are listed as follows. Thom [22] showed that a compatible collection is realiable if one partition in it has length one. Edmonds-Kulkarni-Stong [4, Theorem 5.4] showed that a compatible collection with degree $d\neq 4$ is realizable provided its total branching is greater than or equal to $3(d-1)$. Moreover, the exceptions with $d=4$ are precisely those with partitions $(2,2),\cdots,(2,2),(3,1)$. Boccara [2] obtained a complete determination of the realizability of the following collection Λ which consists of the following three partitions of d:

$$(a_1,\cdots,a_p),(b_1,\cdots,b_q),(m+1,1,\cdots,1).$$

He proved that Λ is realizable if and only it satisfies precisely one of the following two conditions:

- $\nu(\Lambda)\geq 2d$ is even.
- $\nu(\Lambda)=2d-2$ and $m<\dfrac{d}{\mathrm{GCD}(a_1,\cdots,a_p,b_1,\cdots,b_q)}$. Note that $m=p+q-2$ in this case.

We generalize the second part of Boccara's result to collections with more than three partitions in

Theorem 1.1. *Let d and l be two positive integers. Suppose that the collection Λ consists of $l+2$ partition of d and has form*

$$\Lambda=\{(a_1,\cdots,a_p),(b_1,\cdots,b_q),(m_1+1,1,\cdots,1),\cdots,(m_l+1,1,\cdots,1)\}.$$

We consider the problem whether Λ is realized by a branched cover from the Riemann sphere onto itself so that we assume that $(m_1,\cdots,m_l)$ is a partition of $p+q-2>0$. Then there exists such a branched cover realizing Λ if and only if

$$\max(m_1,\cdots,m_l)<\frac{d}{\mathrm{GCD}(a_1,\cdots,a_p,b_1,\cdots,b_q)}.$$

We call a rational function on a compact Riemann surface a *Belyi function* if it has at most three branch points. As a byproduct of the proof of Theorem 1.1, we find new compatible collections which could be realized by Belyi functions on the Riemann sphere in

Theorem 1.2. *Let* d *and* r *be two positive integers, and the collection* Λ *consist of partitions*

$$(a_1,\cdots,a_p),(b_1,\cdots,b_q),(c_1+1,\cdots,c_r+1,1,\cdots,1)$$

of d*, where* $(c_1,\cdots,c_r)$ *is a partition of* $p+q-2>0$*. Suppose that*

$$\max(c_1,\cdots,c_r)<\frac{d}{\mathrm{GCD}(a_1,\cdots,a_p,b_1,\cdots,b_q)}.$$

Then the modified collection

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}:=\{(ra_1,\cdots,ra_p),(rb_1,\cdots,rb_q),(c_1+1,\cdots,c_r+1,1,\cdots,1)\}$$

of degree dr *can be realized by a Belyi function on the Riemann sphere.*

We shall prove the theorem and the corollary in the second section and propose a conjecture about rational functions on compact Riemann surfaces of positive genera in the end of this manuscript.

2 Proofs of the two theorems

In Subsection 2.1 we prove the necessary part of the theorem and observe that the sufficient part can be reduced to the case of $\mathrm{GCD}(a_1,\cdots,a_p,b_1,\cdots,b_q)=1$. Moreover, we recall that by the Riemann existence theorem the sufficient part is equivalent to the existence of certain permutations in the symmetry group $S_d:=S_{\{1,2,\cdots,d\}}$ associated with the collection Λ. For the completeness, we give in Subsection 2.2 a proof in our own strategy for the case of $l=1$ of Theorem 1.1 which was also proved by Boccara [2]. We prove Case $l\geq 2$ of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 in Subsections 2.3 and 2.4, respectively.

2.1 Riemann's existence theorem

At first, we prove the necessary part of Theorem 1.1.

Proof. Suppose that there exists a rational function f on $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ realizing branch data Λ. Using suitable Möbius transformations if necessary, we could assume that f has form

$$f(z)=\frac{(z-z_1)^{a_1}\cdots(z-z_p)^{a_p}}{(z-w_1)^{b_1}\cdots(z-w_q)^{b_q}} \tag{1}$$

where $z_1,\cdots,z_p,w_1,\cdots,w_q$ are $(p+q)$ distinct complex numbers. Denoting $\mathrm{GCD}(a_1,\cdots,b_q)$ by k for simplicity, we could express f as $f=F^k$, where F is another rational function on $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ and has branch data

$$\{(a_1/k,\cdots,a_p/k),(b_1/k,\cdots,b_q/k),(m_1+1,1,\cdots,1),\cdots,(m_l+1,1,\cdots,1)\}.$$

Since F has degree d/k, $\max(m_1,\cdots,m_l)$ is less than d/k. □

On the other hand, reversing the order of the above argument, we see that *if the collection*

$$\{(a_1/k,\cdots,a_p/k),(b_1/k,\cdots,b_q/k),(m_1+1,1,\cdots,1),\cdots,(m_l+1,1,\cdots,1)\}$$

is realized by some rational function, then so is Λ. Hence, in order to show the the sufficient part, we may assume $\mathrm{GCD}(a_1,\cdots,b_q)=1$.

We need to prepare some notions before proving the sufficient part of Theorem 1.1.

Definition 2.1. Let m be a non-negative integer. Call a vector $\alpha=(a_1,a_2,\cdots,a_{m+2})$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{m+2}$ a *residue vector* with $(m+2)$ components if $a_1+a_2+\cdots+a_{m+2}=0$ and $a_1a_2\cdots a_{m+2}\neq 0$. Call two residue vectors $\alpha=(a_1,\cdots,a_{m+2})$ and $\beta=(b_1,\cdots,b_{m+2})$ *equivalent*, denoted by $\alpha\sim\beta$, if there is a nonzero rational number μ and a permutation σ in the symmetry group S_{m+2} such that

$$\mu\cdot\alpha=(\mu a_1,\cdots,\mu a_{m+2})=\sigma(\beta):=\left(b_{\sigma(1)},\cdots,b_{\sigma(m+2)}\right).$$

This is an equivalence relation in the set of residue vectors with $(m+2)$ components. We define the *degree* of residue vector α to be

$$\deg(a_1,\cdots,a_{m+2}) = \frac{\sum_{a_j>0} a_j}{\mathrm{GCD}(a_1,\cdots,a_{m+2})}.$$

We call a residue vector $\alpha=(a_1,\cdots,a_{m+2})$ *primitive* if $\mathrm{GCD}(a_1,\cdots,a_{m+2})=1$.

Using notions in Theorem 1.1, we find that the logarithmic differential $d(\log f)=\frac{df}{f}$ of rational function f in (1) has residues $a_1,\cdots,a_p,-b_1,\cdots,-b_q$, which form a residue vector with degree equal to $d/\mathrm{GCD}(a_1,\cdots,a_p,b_1,\cdots,b_q)$. This justifies our definitions of residue vector and its degree.

Definition 2.2. Let $\lambda=(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\cdots,\lambda_l)$ be a partition of a positive integer n, denoted by $\lambda\vdash n$. The *weight* of λ is defined to be the maximum of all the components of λ, which we denote by $\mathrm{wt}(\lambda)$.

Use the notions in Theorem 1.1 and denote by λ the partition $(m_1,\cdots,m_l)$ of $m:=p+q-2>0$ and by α the residue vector $(a_1,\cdots,a_p,-b_1,\cdots,-b_q)$. Then the condition in the theorem can be expressed as

$$\deg\alpha>\mathrm{wt}(\lambda).$$

By the Riemann existence theorem [3, Theorem 2, p.49], the sufficient part of the theorem is implied by

Theorem 2.1. *Use notions in Theorem 1.1 and the preceding paragraph. If* $\deg\alpha>\mathrm{wt}(\lambda)$, *then there exist* $(l+2)$ *permutation of* $\tau_1,\tau_2,\sigma_1,\cdots,\sigma_l$ *in the symmetry group* $S_d=S_{\{1,2,\cdots,d\}}$ *of* $\{1,2,\cdots,d\}$ *such that*

- $\tau_1\tau_2\sigma_1\cdots\sigma_l=e$, *where* e *is the unit in* S_d *and we multiply permutations from right to left;*
- τ_1 *has the type of* $a_1^1a_2^1\cdots a_p^1$, τ_2 *of* $b_1^1b_2^1\cdots b_q^1$ *and* σ_k *of* $(1+m_k)^1 1^{d-m_k-1}$ *for all* $k=1,\cdots,l$;
- *The subgroup* $\langle\tau_1,\tau_2,\sigma_1,\cdots,\sigma_l\rangle$ *of* S_d *acts transitively on* $\{1,2,\cdots,d\}$.

The following lemma will be useful later, which follows from the Riemann existence theorem and the argument in the first three paragraphs of this subsection.

Lemma 2.1. *For each* $m\geq 0$, *proving Theorem 2.1 is equivalent to proving its variant with the extra assumption that* α *should be primitive. We call the latter the primitive version of Theorem 2.1.*

We shall prove Theorem 2.1 and its primitive version simultaneously by induction on $m=p+q-2$ in the following two subsections. The proof will be divided into two parts consisting of Case $l=1$ and otherwise, in which we do contraction with respect to both residue vector $\alpha=(a_1,\cdots,a_p,-b_1,\cdots,-b_q)$ and partition $\lambda=(m_1,\cdots,m_l)$ of $p+q-2$.

2.2 Branch data with three partitions

For the completeness of the manuscript and the convenience of readers, we include in this subsection the proof of the well known case of $l=1$ of Theorem 2.1.

Proposition 2.1. *Let* $l=1$ *and* $m:=m_1=p+q-2>0$. *Assume that* $m<\deg\alpha$, *where* α *is residue vector* $(a_1,\cdots,a_p,-b_1,\cdots,-b_q)$. *Then there exist three permutations* τ_1,τ_2,σ_1 *in* S_d *as desired.*

For simplicity, we assume that $a_1\leq a_2\leq\cdots\leq a_p$ and $b_1\geq\cdots\geq b_q$ and $1\leq p\leq q$. Then we have the following easy lemma, whose proof is omitted.

Lemma 2.2. $a_p-b_q>0$ *when* $p+q\geq 3$.

We introduce the contraction of residue vector in

Lemma 2.3. *Assume* $m=p+q-2>0$. *Then there exist* $i_0\in\{1,2,\cdots,p\}$ *and* $j_0\in\{1,2,\cdots,q\}$ *such that*

$$\widehat{\alpha}=(a_1,\cdots,a_{i_0-1},a_{i_0}-b_{j_0},a_{i_0+1},\cdots,a_p,-b_1,\cdots,\widehat{(-b_{j_0})},\cdots,-b_q)$$

is a residue vector such that $\deg\widehat{\alpha}>m-1$, *where* $a_{i_0}-b_{j_0}>0$ *and the hat over term* $(-b_{j_0})$ *means that* $(-b_{j_0})$ *is removed. Note that the number of components of* $\widehat{\alpha}$ *is one less than that of* α.

We call $\widehat{\alpha}$ a *contraction* of α.

Proof. We assume that α is primitive since the degree of α equals that of its equivalent primitive residue vector. We observe $q \geq 2$ and consider $\beta_1 = (a_1, \cdots, a_{p-1}, a_p - b_q, -b_1, \cdots, -b_{q-1})$. By the lemma as above, $a_p - b_q > 0$. We divide the proof into the following cases.

1. Assume $q = 2$. Then $m = p + q - 2 = p$ and $\deg \beta_1 \geq p$ so that we can choose $\widehat{\alpha} = \beta_1$. We assume that $q > 2$ in what follows.
2. Suppose that β_1 is primitive. Then we claim that $\widehat{\alpha} := \beta_1$ has degree greater than $\mathrm{wt}(\lambda_1)$. Otherwise, we have
$$2q - 2 \geq p + q - 2 > \deg \beta_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i - b_q = \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} b_j.$$
Then we have $b_{q-1} = 1$ and $b_{q-2} \leq 2$. Moreover, $b_1 = b_2 = \cdots = b_{q-2} = 2$ if $b_{q-2} = 2$. Hence $b_q = 1$ and $m + 1 = p + q - 1 > \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i = \deg \alpha$. Contradiction.
3. Suppose $\deg \beta_1 \leq \mathrm{wt}(\lambda_1)$. Then β_1 is not primitive. Let $D > 1$ be the largest common divisor of all components of β_1. Using a similar argument as Case 2, we obtain $b_{q-1} = D$, $D | b_j$ for all $j = 1, \cdots, q-2$, $D | a_i$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, p-1$, and $D | (a_p - b_q)$. In particular, $a_p - b_{q-1} > 0$. Let $\beta_2 = (a_1, \cdots, a_{p-1}, a_p - b_{q-1}, -b_1, \cdots, -b_{q-2}, -b_q)$. If $\deg \beta_2 > \mathrm{wt}(\lambda_1)$, then we can define $\widehat{\alpha} := \beta_2$. Suppose $\deg \beta_2 < m$.
   (a) If β_2 is primitive, we know that $b_q = 1$ and $b_{q-2} \leq 2$ by a similar argument as Case 2. But $b_{q-1} = D > 1$, we get $b_1 = \cdots = b_{q-1} = 2$ and $a_1, \cdots, a_{p-1}$ are even, a_p is odd. Then $\widehat{\alpha} := (a_1 - b_q, a_2, \cdots, a_p, -b_1, \cdots, -b_{q-1})$ has degree $2q - 2 > \mathrm{wt}(\lambda_1)$.
   (b) If β_2 is not primitive, then the largest common divisor of all components of β_2 equals $b_q \geq 2$. Moreover, $b_q | b_{q-2}$ and $b_{q-2} \leq 2b_q$. If $b_{q-1} = b_q$, then $b_{q-1} | (a_p - b_q)$ and $b_{q-1} | a_p$, which contradicts the primitive property of α. Hence $b_{q-1} > b_q$ and $2 \geq \frac{b_{q-2}}{b_q} > \frac{b_{q-2}}{b_{q-1}}$. Recall $1 < D = b_{q-1} | b_{q-2}$. We have $b_{q-2} = b_{q-1}$, $b_{q-1} = 2b_q$, $b_q | (a_p - b_{q-1})$ and $b_q | a_p$. This contradicts the primitive property of α.

□

To construct permutations by using induction hypothesis, we need

Lemma 2.4. *Use the notions in Proposition 2.1. Suppose that there exist permutations τ_1, τ_2, σ_1 in S_d such that they have types $a_1^1 a_2^1 \cdots a_p^1$, $b_1^1 b_2^1 \cdots b_q^1$ and $(1+m)^1 1^{d-m-1}$, respectively, and the subgroup generated by these three permutations acts transitively on the set $\{1, 2, \cdots, d\}$. Write τ_2 as a product $\tau_2 = \nu_1 \nu_2 \cdots \nu_q$ where ν_j's are mutually disjoint cycles for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, q$ and called cycle factors of τ_2. Then each cycle ν_j intersects cycle σ_1 with length $(m+1)$ for all j in $\{1, 2, \cdots, q\}$ in the sense the subset of $\{1, 2, \cdots, d\}$ associated with ν_j intersects the one associated with σ_1. The similar statement holds for τ_1.*

Proof. Suppose that ν_1 does not intersect σ_1. Then ν_1 is a cycle factor of $(\tau_1)^{-1}$ since $\tau_2 \sigma_1 = (\tau_1)^{-1}$. Hence the subgroup $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \sigma_1 \rangle = \langle \tau_2, \sigma_1 \rangle$ of S_d does not act transitively on the set $\{1, 2, \cdots, d\}$. Contradiction! □

Then we give the proof of Proposition 2.1.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, we may assume that α is primitive. We argue by induction on $m \geq 0$. It holds trivially as $p = q = 1$. Assume $p + q > 2$ in what follows. By Lemma 2.3, there exists a contraction $\widehat{\alpha}$ of α. For simplicity, we assume that $\widehat{\alpha} = (a_1, \cdots, a_{p-1}, a_p - b_q, -b_1, \cdots, -b_{q-1})$. Recall that $d = a_1 + \cdots + a_p = b_1 + \cdots + b_q$ since α is primitive. By the induction hypothesis, there exists a permutation $\tau_2 = \nu_1 \nu_2 \cdots \nu_{q-1} \in S_{d-b_q}$ of type $b_1^1 b_2^1 \cdots b_{q-1}^1$, where ν_j is a cycle factor of length b_j for all $j = 1, 2, \cdots, q-1$, and a cycle $\sigma_1 \in S_{d-b_q}$ of length $(p+q-2)$ such that $\nu_1 \nu_2 \cdots \nu_{q-1} \sigma_1$ has type $a_1^1 \cdots a_{p-1}^1 (a_p - b_q)^1$. That is, we can write
$$\nu_1 \nu_2 \cdots \nu_{q-1} \sigma_1 = \mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_p$$
where μ_i's are cycle factors of length a_i for $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ and μ_p is a cycle factor of length $a_p - b_q$. By Lemma 2.4 there exists an integer $1 \leq x \leq d$ in the intersection of μ_p and σ_1. Choose cycle $\nu_q \in S_d$ of

length b_q such that ν_q does not intersect ν_j for all $j=1,\cdots,q-1$ and pick an integer y in the subset of $\{1,2,\cdots,d\}$ associated with ν_q. Then $\nu_1\nu_2\cdots\nu_{q-1}\nu_q$ has type $b_1^1 b_2^1\cdots b_q^1$ and $\widetilde{\sigma_1} := \sigma_1(x,y)$ is a cycle of length $(p+q-1)$. We observe that that $\tilde{\mu}_p := \nu_q\mu_p(x,y)$ is a cycle of length a_p since ν_q does not intersect μ_j for each $j\in\{1,2,\cdots,p\}$. At last, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_1\nu_2\cdots\nu_{q-1}\nu_q\widetilde{\sigma_1} &= \nu_q\nu_1\nu_2\cdots\nu_{q-1}\sigma_1(x,y)\\ &= \nu_q\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_p(x,y)\\ &= \mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_{p-1}\nu_q\mu_p(x,y)\\ &= \mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_{p-1}\tilde{\mu}_p.\end{aligned}$$

□

2.3 Branch data with more than three partitions

We prove Case $l\geq 2$ of Theorem 2.1. At first we deal with the residue vectors, whose components equal either $+1$ or -1.

Proposition 2.2. *Let e be a positive integer. Assume $\alpha = (\underbrace{1,1,\cdots,1}_{D+1},\underbrace{-1,-1,\cdots,-1}_{D+1})$. For each partition $\lambda=(m_1,m_2,\cdots,m_l)$ of $2D$ such that $D+1=\deg\alpha > \mathrm{wt}(\lambda)$, there exist the l permutations of $\sigma_1,\cdots,\sigma_l$ in S_{D+1} as desired.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $l\geq 2$.

Case 1 If $l=2$, we know that $m_1=m_2=D$ since $2D=m_1+m_2$ and $m_1\leq m$, $m_2\leq D$. Then we can choose

$$\sigma_1=(1,2,\cdots,D+1),\quad \sigma_2=\sigma_1^{-1}.$$

Case 2 If $l=3$. We have $m_1+m_2\geq D$ since $m_3\leq D$. Choosing

$$\begin{aligned}&\sigma_1=(1,2,\cdots,m_1+1)\quad\text{and}\\ &\sigma_2=(1,\underbrace{m_1+1,m_1,m_1-1,\cdots,m_1+m_3-m+2}_{m_1+m_2-D},\underbrace{m_1+2,m_1+3,\cdots,m+1}_{D-m_1}),\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\sigma_1\sigma_2=(\underbrace{m_1+2,m_1+3,\cdots,m+1}_{D-m_1},\underbrace{2,3,\cdots,m_1+m_3-e+2}_{m_1+m_3-D+1})$$

as desired.

Case 3 Suppose $l>3$. We assume that $m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_{r-1}\leq D$ and $m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_r>D$ for some r. Choosing

$$\begin{aligned}&\sigma_1=(1,2,\cdots,m_1+1),\\ &\sigma_2=(m_1+1,m_1+2,\cdots,m_1+m_2+1),\\ &\quad\cdots\\ &\sigma_{r-1}=(m_1+\cdots+m_{l-2}+1,\cdots,m_1+\cdots+m_{r-1}+1),\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\tau_1:=\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_{r-1}=(1,2,3,\cdots,m_1+\cdots+m_{r-1}+1)$$

By Case 2, there exist two cycles τ_2 and τ_3 which have length $1+m_r$ and $m_{r+1}+\cdots+m_l+1<D+1$, respectively, such that $\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3=e$. As the construction of $\sigma_1,\cdots,\sigma_{r-1}$, we can find $\sigma_{r+1},\sigma_{r+2},\cdots,\sigma_l$ directly such that σ_j has the type of $(1+m_j)^1 1^{D-m_j}$ for $r+1\leq j\leq l$ and $\sigma_{r+1}\cdots\sigma_l=\tau_3$.

□

Now we arrive at proving Case $l\geq 2$ of Theorem 2.1.

Proof. We may assume that $1 \le p \le q, a_1 \le a_2 \le \cdots \le a_p, b_1 \ge b_2 \ge \cdots \ge b_q$, and $m_1 \le m_2 \le \cdots \le m_l$. By Lemma 2.1 we could also assume that residue vector $\alpha = (a_1, \cdots, a_p, -b_1, \cdots, -b_q)$ is primitive so that $\deg \alpha = d$.

Case 1 Suppose $d \ge m+1$. By Proposition 2.1 there exist τ_1, τ_2, τ_3 such that

1. $\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3 = e$;
2. τ_1 has type of $a_1^1 a_2^1 \cdots a_p^1$, τ_2 of $b_1^1 b_2^1 \cdots b_q^1$, τ_3 of $(1+m)1^{1^{d-m-1}}$;
3. $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 \rangle$ acts transitively on $\{1, 2, \cdots, d\}$.

Assume that $\tau_3 = (1, 2, \cdots, m+1)$. Choosing

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma_1 = (1, 2, \cdots, m_1+1),\\
&\sigma_2 = (m_1+1, m_1+2, \cdots, m_1+m_2+1),\\
&\cdots\cdots\\
&\sigma_l = (m_1+\cdots+m_{l-1}+1, m_1+\cdots+m_{l-1}+2, \cdots, m_1+\cdots+m_l+1),
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain $\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_l = \tau_3$ as desired.

Case 2 Suppose $d \le m$. Then the validity of this case is exactly given by the following lemma.

□

Lemma 2.5. *Theorem 2.1 holds if* $d \le m$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $d \ge \frac{p+q}{2}$ and $l \ge 2$, i.e. the partition λ of m has at least two components. We use the induction argument on $m = p+q-2$. The validity of the lemma for the initial case of $m = 2$ follows from Proposition 2.2, where $d = 2$, $\alpha = (1, 1, -1, -1)$ and $\lambda = (1, 1)$. We assume that the lemma holds for each partition λ of $(m-1) \ge 2$ and each residue vector α with $\big((m-1)+2\big)$ components such that $\mathrm{wt}(\lambda) < \deg \alpha$. We only need to show that it is the case for m.

At first we show that the problem can be reduced to the two special cases where $l = 2$ or 3, i.e. the partitions of m has 2 or 3 components, respectively. Suppose that the lemma holds for each partition of m with three components. Then, for a partition $(m_1, \cdots, m_l)$ of m such that $l > 3$, we consider a new one $\lambda' = (m_1', m_2', m_3')$ of m, where

$$m_1' := m_1 + \cdots + m_{r-1} < d$$

satisfies

$$m_1 + \cdots + m_{r-1} + m_r \ge d,$$

$m_2' := m_r$, and $m_3' := m_{r+1} + \cdots + m_l$ satisfies

$$m_3' = p+q-2-(m_1'+m_2') < (p+q-d)-1 \le \frac{p+q}{2} - 1 \le d-1.$$

Applying the validity of Case $l = 3$, we obtain $\tau_1, \tau_2, \sigma_1', \sigma_2', \sigma_3'$ as desired. By a similar construction as Case 3 in the proof of Proposition 2.2, we know that the lemma holds for partition $(m_1, \cdots, m_l)$. Therefore, we have justified our reduction.

In this paragraph we argue without using the restriction that $l = 2$ or 3. Assume $a_1 \le \cdots \le a_p$ and $b_1 \ge \cdots \ge b_q$. If $a_p = 1$, then $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_p = b_1 = b_2 = \cdots = b_q = 1$ and we are done by Proposition 2.2. We may assume that $a_p > 1$. Since $d \le m$, we have $\sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j \le p+q-2 \le 2q-2$. Then $b_{q-1} = b_q = 1$ and $l \ge 2$. Assume $m_1 \le \cdots \le m_l$. Choose partition $\lambda_1 := \big(m_1, \cdots, m_{l-1}, (m_l - 1)\big)$ of $m-1$ and residue vector $\widehat{\alpha} = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{p-1}, a_p - 1, -b_1, -b_2, \cdots, -b_{q-1})$, which could be thought of as contractions of λ and α, respectively. Then $\widehat{\alpha}$ is primitive and has degree of $\deg \alpha - 1$.

Claim $\mathrm{wt}(\lambda_1) < \deg \widehat{\alpha}$.
Proof of the Claim

(i) If $m_1 \le \cdots \le m_{l-1} = m_l$, then $\mathrm{wt}(\lambda_1) = m_{l-1} = m_l$ and $2m_l \le m = p+q-2 \le 2q-2$, i.e. $m_l \le q-1$. If $\deg \widehat{\alpha} > q-1$, then we are done. Assume $\deg \widehat{\alpha} = q-1$, which implies that $b_1 = \cdots = b_q = 1$ and $p < q$. Then $2m_l \le m = p+q-2 < 2q-2$ and $m_l \le q-2$. Hence $\deg \widehat{\alpha} = q-1 > m_l = \mathrm{wt}(\lambda_1)$.

(ii) If $m_{l-1} < m_l$, then $\mathrm{wt}(\lambda_1) = m_l - 1 = \mathrm{wt}(\lambda) - 1 < \deg \alpha - 1 = \deg \widehat{\alpha}$.

The claim is proved.

Then we can use the induction argument on m, where we also assume $l = 2$ or 3.

- Suppose $l = 2$. That is, we take any primitive residue vector $\alpha = (a_1, \cdots, a_p, -b_1, \cdots, -b_q)$ with degree $d \le m = p+q-2$ and any partition $\lambda = (m_1, m_2)$ of $m = p+q-2$ with such that $a_1 \le \cdots \le a_p$, $b_1 \ge \cdots \ge b_q$, $m_1 \le m_2$, $d = \deg \alpha \le m$ and $\mathrm{wt}(\lambda) < d$. By the preceding paragraph, $b_q = b_{q-1} = 1$, we could take primitive residue vector $\widehat{\alpha} = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{p-1}, a_p - 1, -b_1, -b_2, \cdots, -b_{q-1})$ of α, a contraction of α, and partition $\lambda_1 = (m_1, m_2 - 1)$ such that $\mathrm{wt}(\lambda_1) < \deg \widehat{\alpha} = d-1$. By the induction hypothesis, there exist in $S_{d-1} = S_{\{1,2,\cdots,d-1\}}$ permutation τ_2 of type $b_1^1 \cdots b_{q-1}^1$ and two cycles σ_1, σ_2 of length $1+m_1$, m_2, respectively, such that

$$\tau_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 = \tau_1$$

where $\tau_1 = \mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_p$ has the type of $a_1^1 \cdots a_{p-1}^1 (a_p - 1)^1$ and μ_j's are the cycle factors of τ_1.

1. Suppose that σ_2 intersects μ_p. Then we pick a letter x in their intersection and define $\widetilde{\sigma}_2 := \sigma_2(x, d)$ and $\widetilde{\mu}_p := \mu_p(x, d)$. Then $\widetilde{\sigma}_2$ and $\widetilde{\mu}_p$ are cycles in S_d of length $1 + m_2$ and a_p, respectively. Moreover, we have

$$\tau_2 \sigma_1 \widetilde{\sigma}_2 = \mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_{p-1} \widetilde{\mu}_p$$

as desired.

2. Suppose that σ_2 does not intersect μ_p. By a similar proof as Lemma 2.4, we know that σ_1 intersects μ_p. Choose a letter x in the intersection. Then there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 (x, d) &= \mu_1 \cdots \mu_p (x, d) \\ &= \mu_1 \cdots \mu_{p-1} \widetilde{\mu}_p \quad \text{where} \quad \widetilde{\mu}_p := \mu_p (x, d) \\ &=: \widetilde{\tau}_1. \end{aligned}$$

However, $\tau_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 (x, d) = \tau_2 \sigma_1 (x, d) \sigma_2 =: \tau_2 \sigma_1' \sigma_2$ where $\sigma_1' := \sigma_1 (x, d)$ is a cycle of length $2 + m_1$. Since the letter x is not contained in the set associated with σ_2, there exists another letter in the intersection of σ_1' and σ_2. Otherwise, there are $(m_1 + m_2 + 1)$ different letters appearing in cycles σ_1 and σ_2, which contradicts $d - 1 = \deg \widehat{\alpha} \le m - 1$. Choosing the smallest positive integer s such that $y := \sigma_1'^{-s}(x)$ appears σ_2, we can rewrite σ_1' as

$$\sigma_1' = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{m_1}, y, \sigma_1'(y)) =: \widetilde{\sigma}_1 \big(y, \sigma_1'(y)\big)$$

such that $\sigma_1'(y)$ does not appear in σ_2. Then we have

$$\widetilde{\tau}_1 = \tau_2 \sigma_1' \sigma_2 = \tau_2 \widetilde{\sigma}_1 \big(y, \sigma_1'(y)\big) \sigma_2 = \tau_2 \widetilde{\sigma}_1 \widetilde{\sigma}_2 \quad \text{where} \quad \widetilde{\sigma}_2 := \big(y, \sigma_1'(y)\big) \sigma_2.$$

where, as desired, $\widetilde{\tau}_1$ and τ_2 have type of $a_1^1 \cdots a_p^1$ and $b_1^1 \cdots b_{q-1}^1 b_q^1$, respectively, and two cycles $\widetilde{\sigma}_1$ and $\widetilde{\sigma}_2$ have length of $1 + m_1$ and $1 + m_2$, respectively.

- Suppose $l = 3$. We may further assume that $m_i + m_j > d - 1$ for any $1 \le i \ne j \le 3$. Otherwise, the problem can be reduced to Case $l = 2$. By the induction hypothesis, there exist in S_{d-1} permutation τ_2 of type $b_1^1 \cdots b_{q-1}^1$ and three cycles $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$ of length $1 + m_1, 1 + m_2, m_3$, respectively, such that

$$\tau_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 = \tau_1 = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_p,$$

where $\tau_1 = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_p$ has type $a_1^1 \cdots a_{p-1}^1 (a_p - 1)^1$ and μ_j's are the cycle factors of τ_1. By a similar proof as Case $l = 2$, we know that for any $1 \le i \ne j \le 3$, σ_i intersects σ_j since $m_i + m_j > d - 1$.

1. If μ_p intersects σ_3, the proof goes as Subcase 1 of Case $l = 2$;
2. If μ_p intersects σ_2 but does not intersect σ_3, the proof goes as Subcase 2 of Case $l = 2$ since σ_2 intersects σ_3;

3. Suppose that neither σ_2 nor σ_3 intersects μ_p. Then μ_p intersects σ_1 since $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \rangle$ acts transitively on the set $\{1, 2, \cdots, d-1\}$. By a similar construction as Subcase 1 of Case $l = 2$, we can obtain equality

$$\tau_2 \sigma_1' \sigma_2 \sigma_3 = \widetilde{\tau}_1 = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_{p-1} \widetilde{\mu}_p$$

where σ_1' is a cycle of length $(m_1 + 2)$, $\widetilde{\mu}_p$ is a cycle factor of $\widetilde{\tau}_1$ and has length a_p.

(i) If there exists a letter in σ_2 not appearing in σ_3, then using the method of Subcase 2 of Case $l = 2$ twice, we obtain $\widetilde{\sigma}_1, \widetilde{\sigma}_2, \widetilde{\sigma}_3$ with length $1 + m_1, 1 + m_2, 1 + m_3$, respectively, such that

$$\tau_2 \widetilde{\sigma}_1 \widetilde{\sigma}_2 \widetilde{\sigma}_3 = \widetilde{\tau}_1.$$

In detail, we rewrite

$$\sigma_1' = \widetilde{\sigma}_1 (b_1, z_1)$$

where letter b_1 comes from the intersection of σ_1 and σ_2, letter z_1 does not appear in σ_2 and $\widetilde{\sigma}_1$ is a cycle length $m_1 + 1$. Choosing $\sigma_2' := (b_1, z_1)\sigma_2$, we could rewrite it to be

$$\sigma_2' = \widetilde{\sigma}_2 (b_2, z_2),$$

where letter b_2 lies in the intersection of σ_2 and σ_3, and letter z_2 appears in σ_2 but not in σ_3. We are done by setting $\widetilde{\sigma}_3 = (b_2, z_2)\sigma_3$.

(ii) If every letter in σ_2 appears in σ_3, then we rewrite

$$\sigma_1' = \widetilde{\sigma}_1 (b, z)$$

where letter b lies in the intersection of σ_1' and σ_3, letter z does not appear in σ_3. If letter b does not appear in σ_2, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_2 \sigma_1' \sigma_2 \sigma_3 &= \tau_2 \widetilde{\sigma}_1 (b, z) \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \\ &= \tau_2 \widetilde{\sigma}_1 \sigma_2 ((b, z) \sigma_3) \\ &= \tau_2 \widetilde{\sigma}_1 \sigma_2 \widetilde{\sigma}_3 \quad \text{where} \quad \widetilde{\sigma}_3 := (b, z)\sigma_3. \end{aligned}$$

If b appears in σ_2, then we have

$$\tau_2 \sigma_1' \sigma_2 \sigma_3 = \tau_2 \widetilde{\sigma}_1 ((b, z)\sigma_2) \sigma_3 = \tau_2 \widetilde{\sigma}_1 \sigma_2' \sigma_3 \quad \text{where} \quad \sigma_2' := (b, z)\sigma_2.$$

Then using the method of Subcase 2 of Case $l = 2$ for σ_2', σ_3, we obtain two cycles $\widetilde{\sigma}_2, \widetilde{\sigma}_3$ with length of $1 + m_2, 1 + m_3$, respectively.

□

2.4 Belyi functions

We prove Theorem 1.2 in this subsection.

Proof. Consider the collection

$$\Lambda^* = \{(a_1, \cdots, a_p), (b_1, \cdots, b_q), (c_1 + 1, 1, \cdots, 1), \cdots, (c_r + 1, 1, \cdots, 1)\}$$

of degree d and with total branching $2d - 2$. By Theorem 2.1 and the Riemann existence theorem, there exists a rational function f of degree d on the Riemann sphere such that

• f branches over 0, ∞, ζ, $\cdots$, ζ^r, where $\zeta = \exp\left(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/r\right)$.

• The partitions of the above branch points coincide with $(a_1, \cdots, a_p), (b_1, \cdots, b_q), (c_1 + 1, 1, \cdots, 1), \cdots, (c_r + 1, 1, \cdots, 1)$, respectively.

Then f^r is the Belyi function as desired. □

3 A conjecture

In order to generalize the first part of Boccara's result, we make the following

Conjecture Let d, g and l be three positive integers. Suppose that the collection Λ consists of $l+2$ partition of d and has form

$$\Lambda = \{(a_1, \cdots, a_p), (b_1, \cdots, b_q), (m_1+1, 1, \cdots, 1), \cdots, (m_l+1, 1, \cdots, 1)\},$$

where $(m_1, \cdots, m_l)$ is a partition of $p+q-2+2g > 2$. Then there exists a rational function on some compact Riemann surface of genus g with branch data Λ if and only if

$$\max(m_1, \cdots, m_l) < d.$$

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to express his deep gratitude to Professor Qing Chen, Professor Mao Sheng and Mr Bo Li for their valuable discussions during the course of this work. The second author is indebted to Mr Weibo Fu for informing him [2, 4, 23].

The first author is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 11426236). The second author is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grants no. 11571330 and no. 11271343) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (grant no. WK3470000003).

References

[1] K. Baránski. On realizability of branched coverings on the sphere. *Topol. Appl.* **116** (2001), 279-291.

[2] G. Boccara. Cycles comme produit de deux permutations de classes données. *Discrete Math.* **58** (1982), 129-142.

[3] S. Donaldson. *Riemann surfaces*(Oxford University Press, 2011).

[4] A. L. Edmonds, R. S. Kulkarni and R. E. Stong. Realizability of branched coverings of surfaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **282**(2) (1984), 773-790.

[5] C. L. Ezell. Branch point structure of covering maps onto nonorientable surface. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **243** (1978), 123-133.

[6] G. Francis. Assembling Riemann surfaces with prescribed boundary curves and branch points. *Illinois J. Math.* **20** (1976), 198-217.

[7] S. M. Gersten. On branched coverings of the 2-sphere by the 2-sphere. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **101** (1987), 761-766.

[8] A. Hurwitz. Über Riemann'sche Flächen mit gegebenen Verzweigungspunkten. *Math. Ann.* **103** (1891), 1-60.

[9] D. H. Husemoller. Ramified coverings of Riemann surfaces. *Duke Math. J.* **29** (1962), 167-174.

[10] A. G. Khovanskii and S. Zdravskovska. Branched covers of S^2 and braid groups. *J. Knot Theory Ramif.* **5** (1995), 55-75.

[11] S. K. Lando and A. K. Zvonkin. *Graphs on Surfaces and their Applications, With an appendix by Don B. Zagier*(Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004).

[12] A. D. Mednykh. Nonequivalent coverings of Riemann surfaces with a given ramification type. *Sib. Math. Zh.* **25** (1984), 120-142.

[13] A. D. Mednykn. Branched covering of Riemann surfaces whose branch orders coincide with the multiplicities. *Comm. Algebra* **18** (1990), 1517-1533.

[14] S. Monni, J. S. Song and Y. S. Song. The Hurwitz enumeration problem of branched covers and Hodge integrals. *J. Geom. Phys.* **50** (2004), 223-256.

[15] A. Okounkov and R. Pandharipande. Gromov-Witten theory, Hurwitz theory and complete cycles. *Ann. Math.* **163** (2006), 517-560.

[16] F. Pakovich. Solution of Hurwitz problem for Laurent polynomials. *J. Knot Theory Ramif.* **18** (2009), 271-302.

[17] M. A. Pascali and C. Petronio. Surface branched covers and geometric 2-orbifolds. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **361** (2009), 5885-5920.

[18] M. A. Pascali and C. Petronio. Branched covers of the sphere and the prime-degree conjecture. *Annali di Matematica.* **191** (2012), 563-594.

[19] E. Pervova and C. Petronio. On the existence of branched coverings between surfaces with prescribed branch data, I. *Algebra Geom. Topol.* **6** (2006), 1957-1985.

[20] E. Pervova and C. Petronio. On the existence of branched coverings between surfaces with prescribed branch data, II. *J. Knot Theory Ramif.* **17** (2008), 787-816.

[21] D. Singerman. Subgroups of Fuchsian groups and finite permutation groups. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **2** (1970), 319-323.

[22] R. Thom. L'equivalence d'une fonction différentiable et d'un polinôme. *Topology* **3**(suppl. 2) (1965), 297-307.

[23] H. Zheng. Realizability of branched covering of S^2. *Topol. Appl.* **153** (2006), 2123-2134.